

STATE OF UTAH DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

355 West North Temple 3 Triad Center, Suite 350 Salt Lake City, Utah 84180-1203 Telephone: (801) 538-5340 Fax: (801) 359-3940

ANNUAL REPORT OF MINING OPERATIONS

The informational requirements of this form are based on provisions of the Mined Land Reclamation Act, Title 40-8, Utah Code Annotated 1953, as amended, and the General Rules as promulgated under the Utah Minerals Regulatory Program. An operator conducting mining operations under a Notice of Intention must file an annual operations and progress report (FORM MR-AR) with the Division.

1. Report Time Period: From (mo./yr.) Jan. 1992 To (mo./y	yr.) <u>Dec. 1992</u>		
1. Report Time Period: From (mo./yr.) dai: 1552 10 (mo./yr.)			
2. DOGM File Number: M / 045 / 003	DOGM File Number: M / 045 / 003		
3. Mine Name: UTAH MARBLEHEAD LIME CO.			
4. Mineral(s) Mined:DOLOMITIC LIMESTONE			
5. Legal Description (Location of Lands Affected): SEE ATTACH	HMENT "A"		
1/4,1/4, Section, Township, Ra	ange		
1/4,1/4, Section, Township, Ro	ange		
1/4,1/4, Section, Township, R	ange		
6. Name of Operator or Company:UTAH MARBLEHEAD LIME CO	0.		
7. Permanent Address: 222 NORTH LA SALLE STREET, SUIT	E 1200		
CHICAGO, IL 60601-1091			

	Company Represent	ative (or designated operator):
	Name:	MR. EUGENE J. PENMAN
	Title:	VICE PRESIDENT OPERATIONS
	Address:	390 E. JOE ORR ROAD, CHICAGO HEIGHTS, IL 60411
	Phone:	(312) 468–8846
	Please check previous year	if any of the above information has changed since r.
I.	MINING AND RECI	AMATION
1.	Was the mine activ	e during the past year? Yes 🗌 No 🔯
2.	If active, how much	n ore or mineral was mined?
3.	How much new or	additional acreage was affected during past year?NONE
4.	during the past year	new or additional surface disturbances that occurred r. This description should include the type of work ume of material moved.
4.	during the past year	r. This description should include the type of work
4.	during the past year	r. This description should include the type of work
4.	during the past year	r. This description should include the type of work
4.	during the past year	r. This description should include the type of work
	during the past year performed, and vol	r. This description should include the type of work ume of material moved.
 4. 	during the past year performed, and vol	r. This description should include the type of work
5.	during the past year performed, and vol	e was reclaimed during past year?
	How much acreage Briefly describe the description should results.	r. This description should include the type of work ume of material moved.
5.	How much acreage Briefly describe the description should results. NO RECLAMATION W	e was reclaimed during past year? NONE reclamation work performed during the past year. This include methods employed, and an evaluation of the ORK WAS PERFORMED. TEST PLOTS ESTABLISHED IN MID 1989
5.	How much acreage Briefly describe the description should results. NO RECLAMATION W TO DETERMINE THE MONITORED THIS Y	e was reclaimed during past year? Preclamation work performed during the past year. This include methods employed, and an evaluation of the ORK WAS PERFORMED. TEST PLOTS ESTABLISHED IN MID 1985. MORE SUITABLE TYPES OF VEGETATION FOR RECLAMATION, WEIGHT (YR. 3 OF THE 5 YR. PROGRAM) BY AN OUTSIDE CONTRACT.
5.	How much acreage Briefly describe the description should results. NO RECLAMATION W TO DETERMINE THE MONITORED THIS Y	e was reclaimed during past year? NONE reclamation work performed during the past year. This include methods employed, and an evaluation of the ORK WAS PERFORMED. TEST PLOTS ESTABLISHED IN MID 1989 MORE SUITABLE TYPES OF VEGETATION FOR RECLAMATION, WEI

7.	What is the total disturbed acreage	at years end?49 ACRES		
8.	Briefly summarize mining and reclamation planned for the upcoming year. TONNAGE OF DOLOMITIC LIMESTONE MILLED IN 1993 WILL BE CONTINGENT TO THE			
	PLANT. MONITORING OF THE TEST PLOTS WILL			
	CONTINUE, WITH A FINAL 5th YEAR EVALUATION TO BE CONDUCTED BY THE OUTSIDE CONTRACTOR AND A MHL REPRESENTATIVE, TENTATIVELY SCHEDULED FOR LATE			
	AUGUST of 1994.			
NOTE:	Section III., "Additional Information	n" applies only to <u>large mining operations</u> .		
III. ADDITIO	NAL INFORMATION			
1.	An updated surface facilities map should be attached if there have been significant changes since the previous map was submitted.			
2.	Any monitoring results or other repaperoved notice of intention should	ports that are required under the terms of the d also be attached.		
IV. <u>SIGNATU</u>	JRE REQUIREMENT .			
I here	by certify that the foregoing is true	and correct.		
	Name (Typed or Print):	EUGENE J. PENMAN		
±	Title of Operator:	VICE PRESIDENT OF OPERATIONS		
	Signature of Operator:	Lung f. flem		
	Date: 1/23/83			

49 ACRES

jb MR-AR



Marblehead Lime Company A General Dynamics Company

390 East Joe Orr Road P.O. 80x 488 Chicago Heights, Illinois 60411 708/757-6201 FAX 312/468-8783

	Name of Claims	Notice of Lo Recorded 1	cation in
UMC	•	Book	Page
111554 111555 111556 111557 111558 111559 111560 285958	Betsy #18, Si NEi Nwi Betsy #19, Ni NEi Nwi	231	171
111561 111562 111563 111564	Betsy #22, Why SWHy NWHy Betsy #23, Why NWHy SWHy Betsy #24, Ehy NWHy SWHy Betsy #25, Ehy SWHy SWHy All Claims in Sec. 23, T.2N, I	R. M., D.H.B.III	
111565 111566	Betsy #26. Ex NWx NWx Betsy #27, Nx NEx NWx Both Claims in Sec. 26, T.2N,	63 63 R.9W, S.L.B.M.	178 179
111567 111568 111569 111570	Betsy #28, N NE NE NE NE Betsy #29, N NE N	63 63 -63 63 .8 W , S.L.B.M.	180 181 182 183
111571	Betsy #32, Ex NEX NEX Sec. 6, T.1N, R.8W, S.L.B.M.	63	184
111572 111573	Betsy #33, E3, SE3, SE3, Betsy #34, E3, NE3, SE3, Both Claims in Sec. 31, T.2N,	R.9W, 5.L.B.M.	
111538 111539	Lakeview #2, Wz Wz SEz Lakeview #3, NEz Both Claims in Sec. 35, T.2N,	3 3 R.9W, S.L.B.M.	171 172

Name of Claim

Notice of Location Recorded In --

UMC 111540 111541	Lakeview #4, SE% Lakeview #5, NW% Both Claims in Sec. 26, T.2N, F	Book 3 3 3 3.9.W, S.L.B.M.	Page 173 174
111542 111543 111544 111545	Lakeview #7, SW4; Lakeview #8, NW4; Lakeview #9, NE4; Lakeview #10, SE4; All Claims in Sec. 25, T.2N, R.	4 4 4 4 .8W, S.L.B.M.	.158 159
111546 111547	Lakeview #11, SW; Lakeview #12, SE; Both Claims in Sec. 30, T.2N,	4 4 R.8W, S.L.B.M	160 161
111548	Lakeview #13, NE% Sec. 31, T.2N, R.8W, S.L.B.M.	4	162
111549	Lakeview #14, NW% Sec. 33, T.2N, R.8W, S.L.B.M.	4	163
111550	Lakeview #15, NW\ Sec. 4,T.lN, R.8W, S.L.B.M.	4	164
111551	Wig of Betsy #1, Nig NE% NE% Sec, 27, T.2N, R.9W, S.L.B.M.	32	434
111552 111553	Why of Betsy #4, Shy SEhy SEhy Betsy #13, Shy SWhy SEhy Both Claims in Sec. 22, T.2N,	32 32 R.9W, S.L.B.	437 446 1.
255093	Jeanette #1, Ex SE% SE% Sec. 6, T.1N, R.8W, S.L.B.M.	203	. 367
255094	Jeanette #2, ₩≥ S₩≥ S₩≥ Sec. 5, T.lN, R.8W, S.L.B.M.	203	368
255095	Jeanette #3. Nh NEh NEh Sec. 7, T.lN, R.8W, S.L.B.M.	203	369
255096	Jeanette #4. N\(\frac{1}{2}\) N\(\frac{1}{2}\) N\(\frac{1}{2}\) Sec. 8, T.lN, R.8\(\frac{1}{2}\), S.L.B.M.	203	370
255302	Jeanette #5, N NW NW NW NW Sec. 7, T.1N, R.8W, S.L.B.M.	203	496
255303	Jeanette #6, S\ NW\ NW\ Sec. 7, T.lN, R.8W, S.L.B.M.	203	497
255304	Jeanette #7, Wz NEz NWz Sec. 7, T.lN, R.8W, S.L.B.M.	203	498
256506	Jeanette #8, Wk SWk SWk Sec. 6, T.lN, R.8W, S.L.B.M.	204	234

	Name of Claim	Notice of Recorded	
UMC		Book	Page
257116 257117	Jeanette #9, W2 NE% NW% Jeanette #10, N½ NW% NE% Both Claims in Sec. 7, T.1N, R.8W, S.L.B.M.	205 205	77 78
257118 257119 257120 257121	Jeanette #11, E½ SW% SW% Jeanette #12, S½ SE% SW% Jeanette #13, S½ SW% SE% Jeanette #14, S½ SE% SE% All Claims in Sec. 5, T.lN, R.8W, S.L.B.M.	205 205 205 205	79 80 81 82
257122 257123 257124 257125 257126	Jeanette #15, N½ NE¼ NW¼ Jeanette #16, N½ NW¼ NE¼ Jeanette #17, N½ NE¼ NE¼ Jeanette #18, S½ NW¼ NE¼ Jeanette #19, S½ NE¼ NE¼ All Claims in Sec. 8, T.1N, R.8W, S.L.B.M.	205 205 205 205 205	83 84 85 86 87
265766 265767 327789 327790 327791 327792 327793 327794 327795 327796 327797 327798	Jeanette #26, E½ NE¼ SE¾ Jeanette #27, E½ SE¾ NE¾ Jeanette #34, E½ SW¼ SW¾ Jeanette #35, W½ SE¾ SW¾ Jeanette #36, W½ NE¾ SW¾ Jeanette #37, E½ NE¾ SW¾ Jeanette #38, W½ NW¾ SE¾ Jeanette #39, W½ SE¾ NW¾ Jeanette #40, E½ SE¾ NW¾ Jeanette #41, W½ SW¾ NE¾ Jeanette #41, W½ SW¾ NE¾ Jeanette #42, E½ SW¾ NE¾ Jeanette #43, E½ NW¾ SE¾ All Claims in Sec. 8, T.lN, R.8W, S.L.B.M.	293 293 293 293 293 293 293 293 293 293	557 558 559 560 561 562 563 564 565 566

Legal Description Of The Claims Patented By Utah Marblehead Lime Company

Patente			cation			
Claim	No.	Ę.O.				
Betsy	1			,	Sec.27	T2N R9W T2N R9W
Betsy	2	W1/2,	NW1/4,		Sec.26	
Betsy	3	W1/2	SW1/4,	SW1/4,	Sec.23	T2N R9W
Betsy	4	SE1/4,	SE1/4,	 ,		
Betsy	5	N1/2,	SE1/4,	SE1/4,		T2N R9W
Betsy	6		NE1/4,	SE1/4,	Sec.22	T2N R9W
Betsy	7	N1/2,	NE1/4,		_	T2N R9W
Betsy	8	S1/2,	SE1/4,	NE1/4,		T2N R9W
Betsy	9	S1/2,	SW1/4,			T2N R9W
Betsy	10	N1/2,	NW1/4,	SE1/4,		T2N R9W
Betsy	11	S1/2,	NW1/4,	SE1/4,		T2N R9W
Betsy	12	N1/2,	SW1/4,	SE1/4,		T2N R9W
Betsy	14	SE1/4,	NE1/4,		Sec.22	T2N R9W
Betsy	15	NE1/4,	NE1/4,	SW1/4,	Sec.22	T2N R9W
Betsy	16	SE1/4,	SE1/4,		Sec.22	T2N R9W
pecay	10				•	
Lakevi	ew 1			NW1/4,	Sec. 1	TIN R9V
Lakevi			E1/2,	SE1/4,		
Lakevi		e E1/2,	W1/2,	SE1/4,		T2N R91

All claims located North of The Salt Lake Base Meridian in Tooele County, Utah

James E. Bastian, Geologist August 10, 1989

ENVIRONMENTAL INDUSTRIAL SERVICES

P.O. Box 358 - Desert Lake Road - Elmo, Utah 84521 - Telephone (801) 653-2606

January 11, 1993

Mr. James E. Bastian Marblehead Lime Company 390 E. Joe Orr Rd. Chicago Heights, Illinois 60411

> Re: Vegetation Test Plot Inventory - Delle, UT.

Dear Mr. Bastian:

Please find enclosed the 1992 Vegetation Test Plot Inventory. If you have any questions, please feel free to call me at 801 472-3814.

Sincerely,

Melvin A. Coonrod

MC/njc

1992 VEGETATION TEST PLOT INVENTORY

Prepared by:

Environmental Industrial Services 4855 North Spring Glen Road Helper, Utah 84526

January 11, 1993

1992 VEGETATION TEST PLOT INVENTORY MARBLEHEAD LIME SITE, DELLE, UTAH

Site Description

A 100% inventory of desirable species was conducted on 5 test plots located at the Marblehead Lime Quarry Site.

The actual test plots are at the west end of the quarry below the road and are a simulation of conditions that will exist over the majority of the site upon final reclamation. See Figure 1.

This was the second monitoring of the test plot that was implemented in 1989.

Methodology

All of the applications are located adjacent to each other and were contour ripped. The contours are still in evidence and were used to maintain a positive reference of the monitors location on each plot.

Two technicians walked each contour counting and identifying all desirable species along each furrow. General observations of vigor, percent of cover, and evidence of wildlife are also noted. The following is the results of the inventory.

Strip Plantings

The following individual species were hand seeded and lightly raked in. Each strip is approximately 2 feet by 8 feet.

Strip #1	Ephraim Crested Wheat (well established indivi		
Strip #2	Fourwing Salt Brush	0	plants
Strip #3	Gooseberry Globemallow	0	plants
Strip #4	Fairway Crested Wheat	0	plants
Strip #5	Indian Rice Grass	0	plants
Strip #6	Thickspike	0	plants
Strip #7	Bluebunch	0	plants

Strip #8 Yellow Sweet Clover 0 plants

Strip #9 Highcrest Wheat Grass 30 plants (appear well established and are apparently reseeding.)

Strip #10 Galeta 0 plants

Strip #11 Winterfat 0 plants

Plot T-1

Application: 40-0-10 Fertilizer

2000 lbs. per acre wood fiber mulch

Overall impressions of the site is of marginal success relative to total vegetative cover although species diversity appeared to be very good with each of the desirable species present and evidence of invasion by native species from the adjacent undisturbed area.

The majority of the site was heavily utilized by rodents in evidence by a large number of burrows. Approximately 10% of the total site was occupied by vegetative cover, 5% desirable species, 5% Halogeton and Russian Thistle with Halogeton the dominant species.

Desirable Species Present	Number of Plants
Ephraim Crested Wheat	10
Four-Wing Salt Brush	0
Gooseberry Globemallow	2
Fairway Crested Wheatgrass	0
Indian Rice Grass	3
Thickspike Wheatgrass	2
Bluebunch Wheatgrass	1
Yellow Sweet Clover	3
Highcrest Wheatgrass	4
Galeta	11
Winterfat	2
Unknown (Wheatgrass)	3
Invading Desirable Species	
Rubber Rabbit Brush	1

Plot T-2

Application: 10-20-10 Fertilizer oversprayed with 2000 lbs. per acre wood fiber mulch

Overall impression is this plot was very successful with an excellent potential to reach a satisfactory level of vegetative cover to reach bond release standards within the 10 year bond period.

Approximately 70% of the site is occupied with vegetative cover with undesirables (Thistle, Halogeton and cheat grass) composing approximately half of this cover.

Plant vigor was good and all species present were producing seed. Seed dispersal is most likely a result of high rodent usage, as evident by the number of harvested seed heads on the ground.

Desirable Species Present	Number of Plants
Ephraim Crested Wheat	37
Four-Wing Salt Brush	1
Gooseberry Globemallow	9
Fairway Crested Wheatgrass	0
Indian Rice Grass	17
Thickspike Wheatgrass	2
Bluebunch Wheatgrass	9
Yellow Sweet Clover	12
Highcrest Wheatgrass	5
Galeta	19
Winterfat	1
Unknown (Wheatgrass)	9
Invading Desirable Species	
Rubber Rabbit Brush (Cheat gras in evidence)	1

Plot T-3

Application: 10-20-10 Fertilizer
No mulch

Overall impression was poor success, plant vigor poor, and less than 40% ground cover with Halogeton making up 75% of this cover. Desirable species is less than 1%.

Desirable Species Present Number of Plants Ephraim Crested Wheat 0 Four-Wing Salt Brush 0 Gooseberry Globemallow 1 Fairway Crested Wheatgrass 0 Indian Rice Grass 0 Thickspike Wheatgrass Bluebunch Wheatgrass 0 Yellow Sweet Clover 1 Highcrest Wheatgrass 0 Galeta Winterfat

Plot T-4

Application: 40-0-30 Fertilizer

No mulch

Total failure with no desirable species and less than 50% ground cover by undesirable species. Halogeton and less than 5% by Cheat grass.

Plot T-5

Application: Control - seed only

Ground cover was high with a predominance of Cheat grass and Halogeton. Some Thistle was in evidence comprising 60% vegetative cover.

An interesting phenomenon was a large grouping of Ephraim Crested Wheatgrass with an abundance of seed dispersed on the ground and a multiple of plant sizes indicating annual reseeding. It is reasonable to assume this site would eventually become a monoculture of this species.

Desirable Species Present	Number of Plants
Ephraim Crested Wheat Four-Wing Salt Brush Gooseberry Globemallow	115
Fairway Crested Wheatgrass	
Indian Rice Grass Thickspike Wheatgrass	
Bluebunch Wheatgrass Yellow Sweet Clover	2
Highcrest Wheatgrass	
Galeta Winterfat	1
Unknown (Wheatgrass)	15

Conclusions

Based on third year monitoring, the prospect of reclamation success appears high with an application of 10-20-10 fertilizer oversprayed with 2000 lbs. per acre wood fiber mulch.



Marblehead Lime Company

390 East Joe Orr Road P.O. Box 488 Chicago Heights, Illinois 60411 708/757-6201 FAX 312/468-8783 January 22, 1993

Mr. D. Wayne Hedberg - Permit Supervisor State of Utah Department of Natural Resources Division of Oil, Gas and Mining 355 West North Temple 3 Triad Center, Suite 350 Salt Lake City, Utah 84180-1203

RE: ANNUAL REPORT OF MINING OPERATIONS PERMIT NUMBER M/045/003

Dear Mr. Hedberg:

Attached please find our Form MR-AR Annual Report of Mining Operations.

During this reporting period, no mining activity took place within the boundaries of the Utah Marblehead Lime Quarry. Attachment "A" this year are the legal descriptions of all our mining claims. Attachment "B" is a report, explaining the third year monitoring of the test plots implemented in October, 1989.

If additional information is required or questions arise, feel free to contact me or my assistant, James E. Bastian, at anytime.

Sincerely,

MARBLEHEAD LIME COMPANY

Eugene J. Penman

Vice President of Operations

EJP/hm Attachments

cc: M. D. Henery (w/attachments)

J. E. Bastian

File

FEB 0 1 1993

DIVISION OF OIL GAS & MINING